

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,884	10/08/2003	Brian Westfall	ALPI-18833	8194	
1224 75	590 07/05/2006		EXAMINER		
CRUTSINGE	R & BOOTH	HAMILTON, ISAAC N			
1601 ELM STF	REET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 1950 DALLAS, TX	752014744	3724			

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan			10/681,884	WESTFALL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
			Isaac N. Hamilton	3724					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL INSIGHT IN THE MAIL INSIGHT IN THE MAIL INSIGHT IN THE MAIL IN TH	LING DAT B7 CFR 1.136 cation. ory period will , by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this commu O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a)[Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	⊠ This a	action is non-final. se except for formal matters, pro		rits is				
Dienociti	ion of Claims	didei Ex	parte Quayre, 1999 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.213.					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction fon Papers The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	n and/or examiner.) accept to the drive correction	election requirement. oted or b) objected to by the Enawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seen is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- TNo(s)/Mail Date 06/19/06.	-948) O/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te)				

Application/Control Number: 10/681,884 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 16 is dependant upon itself and is indefinite because the scope of the claim cannot be determined; therefore, claim 16 cannot be addressed by a prior art rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steiner et al (4,641,557), hereafter Steiner in view of Giles (6,640,855). Steiner discloses cutting blade 29; the cutting assembly moves along a pivot axis, a vertical axis, a bevel axis and a transverse axis as shown on the computer controller in figure 3A; the apparatus is capable cutting the workpiece at a bevel angle using a stab cut by simultaneously moving the workpiece along its longitudinal axis manually and moving the cutter along the vertical axis with the computer; the apparatus is capable of cutting the workpiece at other than a ninety degree bevel cut; the apparatus is capable of using a stab cut in combination with a transverse cut; the apparatus is capable of having a maximum cut length greater than the length of the bevel cut, and is capable of having a

Art Unit: 3724

maximum cut length of at least ten inches. Steiner discloses everything, but does not disclose an automatic linear feed assembly, however, Giles teaches automatic linear feed assembly 50. It would have been obvious to provide an automatic linear feed assembly in Steiner as taught by Giles in order to incorporate computer aided design workstations into the cutting process for accurate and rapid production of workpieces. Note that the workpiece is moved without a user manually moving the workpiece as taught by Giles, and therefore, the workpiece is automatically moved by Giles. Also note that Giles teaches moving cutters and the workpiece simultaneously in column 5, lines 4-5. Further note that Giles teaches upstream and downstream feed assemblies 82a, 83b, 84a, 84b; and sensors in column 6, lines 14-15.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΙΉ

June 26, 2006

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER